



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,448	01/18/2002	Rodney W. Schmidt	2000.057300	1902	
23720 7	590 03/11/2004	EXAMINER			
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. 10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100			NAMAZI, MEHDI		
HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2188	5	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	0.		
		10/051	,448	SCHMIDT ET AL.	<i>&</i>		
		Examir	ner	Art Unit			
	•	Mehdi	Namazi	2188			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addres	s		
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. days, a reply within the story period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a repstatutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commur INDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>12/17/2004</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			•		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or	b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is requ	uired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docur al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Ap ments have been r cule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stag	e		
Attachmen		*	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date			ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -)		

Application/Control Number: 10/051,448

Art Unit: 2188

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to request for reconsideration filed December 17, 2003.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11, and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodaira et al. (U.S. Patent 4,835,734).

As per claims 1, 11, and 12, Kodaira teaches a method comprising: receiving a virtual address; comparing at least a portion of the virtual address to a first preselected range (fig. 4, element 81, col. 5, lines 25-30, shows portion of virtual address and been compared by comparator 55 first preselected address in register); using a first mechanism to generate a first physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being outside the first preselected range (fig. 4, element 12, col. 5, lines 32-33, is the first mechanism); and using a second mechanism to generate a

Art Unit: 2188

second physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being within the first preselected range (fig. 4, element 11, or second mechanism).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-10, and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodaira, and further in view of Christenson.

As per claims 2, and 13, Kodaire teaches the claimed invention, but fails to teach wherein using the first mechanism to generate the first physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being outside the first preselected range further comprises using a paging mechanism to generate the first physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being outside the first preselected range.

Christenson teaches if the virtual address is out of range generating an interrupt to indicate an address error, and if the virtual is not out of range the virtual address is translated to physical address using a page table (col.10, lines 55-57).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the work of Kodaira because Christenson teaches use of page table for translating virtual addresses into physical addresses in order to avoid usage of memory more then needed, and having less traffic.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,448

Art Unit: 2188

As per claim 8, Kodaire teaches the claimed invention, but fails to teach storing at least one value representative of the first preselected range during an initialization period (cols. 7-8, lines 61-5).

Christenson teaches processor executes program instructions (preselected) stored in main memory to be access by processor during start up (col. 7, lines 61-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the work of Kodaira because Christenson teaches executing program instructions (preselected) by processor during start up in order to manage the resources of computer system such as address translation mechanism, main memory (col. 8, lines 1-5)

As per claims 3, and 14, Christenson teaches wherein using the second mechanism to generate the second physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being within the first preselected range further comprises using a hard mapped mechanism to generate the second physical address from the virtual address in response to the virtual address being within the first preselected range (col. 1, lines 49-59).

As per claim 4, Christenson teaches storing an entry for a page table in the second physical address, wherein the page table entry may be used by the paging mechanism to generate the first physical address from the virtual address (col. 10, lines 55-57).

As per claim 5, Christenson teaches storing at least one value representative of the second physical address during an initialization period, wherein the second mechanism uses the at least one value to generate the second physical address (cols. 7-8, lines 61-5).

As per claim 6, Christenson teaches storing the at least one value representative of the second physical address during the initialization period further comprises storing the at least one value representative of the second physical address during only the initialization period (cols. 7-8, lines 61-5).

As per claim 7, Christenson teaches storing the at least one value representative of the second physical address during the initialization period further comprises using secure kernel software to store the at least one value representative of the second physical address during the initialization period (cols. 7-8, lines 61-5).

As per claim 9, Christenson wherein storing the at least one value representative of the first preselected range during the initialization period further comprises storing the at least one value representative of the first preselected range during only the initialization period (cols. 7-8, lines 61-5).

As per claims 10, 15, and 16, Christenson teaches wherein storing the at least one value representative of the first preselected range during the initialization period further comprises using secure kernel software to store the at least one value representative of the first preselected range during the initialization period (col. 1, lines 16-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/051,448

Art Unit: 2188

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehdi Namazi whose telephone number is 703-306-2758. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on 703-306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 7, 2004

Marso Codnarolher John Garmarhan Marso Parmarhan Marso Parmarhan Superusory Parent Edaminer Tizion